Open Access

Effectiveness of exercise prescription variables to reduce fall risk among older adults: a meta-analysis

Tian-Rui Zhu¹, Hong-Qi Xu¹, Jin-Peng Wei¹, He-Long Quan¹, Xue-Jiao Han¹, Tian-Xiang Li¹ and Ji-Peng Shi^{1*}

Abstract

Objective This meta-analysis explored the relationship between various exercise prescription variables and their effects on fall risk reduction in older adults, enabling the selection of targeted and evidence-based intervention prescription variables tailored to individual risk-assessment results.

Method Databases including PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library were systematically searched for randomized controlled trials that investigated the impact of exercise intervention on fall prevention. Study quality was assessed using the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool. Meta-analyses, subgroup analyses, sensitivity analyses, and assessments of publication bias were performed using Stata 16.0.

Results A total of 43 articles comprising 51 studies and involving 2,743 participants were included. The results indicated significant improvements in fall risk assessment indices due to Mind–body Exercise (MBE), Multi-component Physical Activity (MCPA), and Muscle-strengthening Activity(MSA). Subgroup analyses revealed differential optimal type, cycle (week), frequency (day/week), and session time (minutes) across assessment tools, such as the unipedal stance test with eyes open (MCPA, < 8, 3, 45 \leq Time < 60), functional reach (MCPA, < 8, < 3, \geq 60), the "get-up and go" test (MSA, \geq 24, < 3, 30 \leq Time < 45), Berg balance scale (MBE, 8 \leq Time < 12, 3, 30 \leq Time < 60), short physical performance battery (MCPA, 12 \leq Time < 24, < 3, \geq 60), and Falls Efficacy Scale-International (MBE, 8 \leq Time < 12, < 3, 45 \leq Time < 60).

Conclusion The findings suggest that prescription variables combining MCPA and MBE, \geq 8-week programs, and \geq 30-min sessions, effectively reduce fall risk through concurrent enhancement of balance, strength, and self-efficacy; their integration into community-based protocols with individualized resistance-balance combinations optimizes functional outcomes in older adults.

Keywords Fall Risk, Elderly, Exercise Intervention, Meta-analysis

Introduction

A fall refers to the event of an individual suddenly landing on the floor, ground, or lower level [1]. Estimates based on data from the World Health Organization

*Correspondence: Ji-Peng Shi shijp2006@163.com ¹ Northeast Normal University, Changchun, China suggest that falls—as the second leading cause of unintentional injury deaths worldwide—resulted in 684,000 individuals dying, with adults 60 years and older suffering the greatest number of fatal falls [2]. Fall-related injuries are not only responsible for long-term pain, motor dysfunction, disability, and even death but may also lead to the development of depression or anxiety due to a subsequent fear of falling [3], which causes tremendous physical and psychological harm to older adults and economic



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, wisit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

burden to family and society [4]. Research has shown that multiple factors can increase the risk of falls in older adults [5], which increases the difficulty of risk screening and disease prevention. Previous systematic reviews and network meta-analyses have extensively compared the efficacy of various fall prevention factors across heterogeneous older populations, have failed to establish clear, targeted guidelines for community-dwelling populations [6–8]. Studies have reported that ineffective or harmful exercises incorporated similar prescription variables [9], which not only suggests similar components may lack cross-contextual effectiveness but also highlights the methodological challenges arising from inconsistencies in outcome measurements, heterogeneous population characteristics, and the absence of evidence-based intervention when developing exercise prescriptions for older adults. In the rapidly aging global population, the prevention of falls for older people is a very important question worldwide.

Delbaere et al. [10]—with a decision tree model—have shown that the loss of balance is a key predictor of falls. The critical role of balance training and the necessity of sustained, long-term exercise programs to effectively reduce fall risk had confirmed [11]. Thus, exercise intervention is also considered the most appropriate and economical intervention approach at group level [8, 12, 13]. Current meta-analyses suggest that exercise interventions can help prevent falls in the older population. Multiple types of exercise produce the best results, followed by Tai Chi [7]. Despite the growing body of literature supporting exercise interventions for fall prevention in older adults, there is still a lack of clarity about the optimal combination of exercise regimes. Currently, most studies are unable to produce a structured, individualized exercise plan (including form, cycle, frequency, and time) based on the physical fitness test results of the individuals in the manner of exercise prescription. While the World Guidelines for Falls Prevention and Management for Older Adults (hereafter referred to as the guidelines) emphasize that effective fall prevention programs require individualized exercises with regular review and progression, the recommendation for older adults at lower risk to participate in 150-300 min of moderate-intensity or 75-150 min of vigorous-intensity activity per week in a safe condition remains insufficiently precise [14]. This limitation stems from the absence of standardized protocols for dynamic dose adjustments based on quantitative monitoring of individual functional progression (e.g., balance ability, muscle strength, and fall efficacy). However, few studies have examined the dose-response relationship between cycle, frequency, and duration of exercise regimes and their ability to reduce the risk of falling. This meta-analysis aimed to address these gaps by analyzing different exercise modalities and providing evidencebased recommendations for tailored interventions.

Method

The study was registered on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and conducted according to the *PRISMA 2020 Statement: An Updated Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews* [15].

Search strategy

A comprehensive literature search was performed using PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library from their inception to November 15, 2024. Search terms were grouped into four key concepts: (1) falls, (2) older adults, (3) exercise, and (4) randomized controlled trials (RCTs). To ensure the inclusion of highquality RCTs, search filters developed by McMaster University's Health Information Research Unit were applied [16]. The search strategy combined both Medical subject headings terms and free-text words. Studies were included based on predefined criteria, which are detailed in Table 1.

Screening process

All retrieved articles were imported into Endnote X9 for systematic management. The articles were screened by two independent researchers based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Following a full-text assessment of retrieved articles, two researchers conducted reference list screening to identify potentially includable studies. Before consensus was reached, the agreement between them was quantified using Cohen's kappa coefficient. Any disagreements were resolved by a third reviewer.

Quality assessment

The Cochrane Handbook [24] for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (version 6.4) was used to assess the risk of bias, and Review Manager software 5.3 was used to conduct the assessment. The risk of bias across studies was evaluated in terms of randomization, allocation concealment, blinding, and completeness of outcome data.

Data extraction

Two independent researchers screened titles/abstracts of all identified articles. Abstracts meeting inclusion criteria were retrieved as full-text articles. Full texts were then assessed by the same reviewers. Discrepancies were adjudicated by a third reviewer until consensus was achieved, with corresponding authors contacted for additional data when necessary. Data extraction encompassed pre-post intervention quantitative data from both experimental and

Table 1	Inclusion	criteria	and ex	clusion	criteria
Tuble I	merasion	cincenta	und ch	ciusion	cincenta

Criteria	Туре	Definition
Inclusion	Patients	Adults over 60 years of age, living independently in the nursing home or community
	Intervention	The experimental group only received one or more exercise interventions; the control group maintained normal daily activity
	Comparison	The control group maintained normal daily activity, received conventional nursing, received health education, or performed sham exercises with no gain
	Outcomes	 Balance ability: the unipedal stance test with eyes open [17], functional reach [18], Berg balance scale [19], and "get-up and go" test [20]; Lower limb muscle strength: five stands sit-to-stand [21], the 30-s chair-stand test [22], and short physical performance battery [23]; Fall-efficacy: Falls Efficacy Scale-International (FES-I) [24]
	Study	RCTs (Randomized controlled trials)
Exclusion		 The adults had serious diseases, cognitive impairment, or care needs or were living with assistive devices Did not provide a definitive exercise intervention program The data were not presented in means ± standard deviations descriptive form

sham-control conditions, extracted from text and tables in each included study. Two researchers independently extracted relevant data from the selected articles.

The extracted information included study characteristics (author, publication year, sample size, country), participant demographics (age, gender), intervention details (exercise type, cycle, frequency, duration), and outcome measures related to fall risk and physical performance. For the simplification of the analysis and result application, the exercise types were divided into MBE (Controlled movement practices through mindful motor control and breath awareness), MSA (Targeted exercises applying resistance to induce neuromuscular adaptations for strength enhancement), and MCPA (Integrated interventions combining ≥ 2 training domains to optimize functional capacity) according to previously published studies [25–27].

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was conducted using Stata software (Version 16.0SE; Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Heterogeneity across studies was evaluated using Cochran's Q test and the I² statistic (1-50% = low), 50-75% = moderate, 75-100% = high heterogeneity), with a *p*-value < 0.1 or I² > 50% indicating significant heterogeneity. Random-effects models were employed in cases of above moderate heterogeneity, while fixedeffects models were applied when heterogeneity was minimal. Hedges' g and 95% credible intervals (CrIs) were used to assess the credibility of the estimates. Publication bias was tested using Egger's test and a funnel plot. Subgroup analyses were performed to investigate potential sources of heterogeneity and exercise prescription variables' effects on functional capacity related to fall risk.

Results

Study selection

A total of 12,214 records were retrieved through preliminary searching. According to the above inclusion and exclusion criteria, two researchers independently screened and extracted literature by reading the title, abstract, and full text. Finally, 43 studies were included (Fig. 1).

Characteristics of the included studies

In total, 43 articles (1 in Korean and 42 in English) were included in the literature review, including 51 studies (if an article included more than one intervention, then each exercise intervention was split into the relevant study). The study sample included a total of 2,743 subjects, with the exercise group consisting of 1,503 and the control group consisting of 1,240 subjects. The age range of the participants was 60–75 years, and they lived in the community. Inter-rater reliability between the two independent researchers was assessed using Cohen's kappa of 0.87.

The exercise interventions included MBE (n = 29), MSA (n = 7), and MCPA (n = 15). Of the included studies, because only three [28–30] used walking as the intervention for the purpose of improving balance and cognitive ability, these interventions were grouped together as an MBE. The characteristics of each sample are shown in Table 2.

The MBE was dominated by traditional Chinese martial arts or balance and functional training, accounting for 56.86% (n = 29). The intervention cycle ranged from 4 to 25 weeks, of which 12–24 accounted for 39.22% (n = 20). Interventions less than three times a week had the highest percentage of 54.9%. Each exercise time of more than 60 min had the highest percentage of 45.1%. A summary of exercise prescription variables' characteristics is shown in Table 3.



Fig. 1 PRISMA flow chart of the study selection process

Quality of the included literature and publication bias

The quality assessment data are summarized and presented in Fig. 2. In total, 32 of the 51 studies applied random allocation and were illustrated. Additionally, there was some difficulty in the blinded manner due to the characteristics of the exercise interventions; therefore, 25 studies applied the blind method and 14 studies explicitly reported the allocation concealment. A total of 30 studies reported complete outcome data.

Additionally, the risk of publication bias was assessed using the funnel plot (Fig. 3) and Egger's test (Table 4). Funnel plot asymmetry and Egger's test revealed potential publication bias only in the "Get – up and go" test (t = -3.17, p < 0.05) and Berg balance scale (t = 4.30, p < 0.05) subgroups. Trim and fill method adjustment demonstrated marginally lower effect sizes (adjusted g = -0.32, 95% CI -0.50 to -0.14 and 0.52, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.86) compared to observed values (g = -0.52, 95% CI -0.68 to -0.37 and 0.74, 95% CI 0.47 to 1.01) for these subgroups, as visually substantiated in Fig. 3.

Meta-analysis

The effect of the exercise intervention on balance in older adults was calculated by comparing their scores for the pre-and post-intervention unipedal stance tests with closed eyes, the unipedal stance test with eyes open, functional reach, "get-up and go" test, Berg balance scale, five stands sit-to-stand, the 30-s chair-stand test, short physical performance battery, and FES-I (Fig. 4).

As shown in Table 4, 3, 11, 8, 32, 13, 15, 11, 9, and 7 studies were included in the above subgroup, which

shows some heterogeneity (I² = 73.52%, 64.99%, 41.64%, 59.23%, 62.29%, 48.14%, 44.61%, 79.66%, and 78.01%). Among the 9 subgroups analyzed, 33.33% demonstrated low heterogeneity, 44.44% moderate heterogeneity, and 22.22% high heterogeneity, with Cochran's Q values ranging from 18.05 to 79.14 (all $p \le 0.05$).

In addition to the unipedal stance test with eyes closed (p = 0.28), the pooled effect size of each research using the random-effect model was statistically significant, suggesting that exercise intervention significantly improved the test scores for balance in older adults (p < 0.01).

Meta-regression and subgroup analysis

Meta-regression and subgroup analysis were performed for different exercise prescription variables that could be included (Table 5). Meta-regression demonstrated statistically significant associations between session time of MCPA and Short physical performance battery improvements, with a coefficient of 0.51 (95% CI 0.02 to 1.00, p <0.05). While long-term exercise efficacy in reducing fall risk has been substantiated, subgroup analyses stratified by prescription variables were implemented to address remaining heterogeneity sources and optimize the effectiveness of exercise protocol.

To explore the potential source of heterogeneity, we sequentially excluded the included studies and found no differences in the overall outcomes. As shown in Table 6, a subgroup analysis of potential moderating variables in each group was carried out to further explore the sources of heterogeneity and the effects of the exercise prescription variables on functional capacity related to fall risk.

Table 2 Characteristics of the included studies

Author	Country	Experimenta	Control	Primary				
		Sample size	Intervention component	Cycles (week)	Frequency (times/week)	Time (min)	Sample size	indicator
Arkkukangas 2019 (1) [31]	Sweden	61	Otago exercise program (OEP)—MCPA	12	3	30	28	8
Arkkukangas 2019 (2) [31]	Sweden	58	OEP combined with Moti- vational Interviewing (OEP + MI)—MCPA	12	3	30	28	8
Arrieta 2018 [32]	Spain	57	Warm-up (5 min); Strength training (40%–60%1RM/65–70% 1RM, 25 min); Balance training (10 min); Walking retraining (5 min)–MCPA	12	2	45	55	\$, 7, 8
Benavent 2015 [33]	Spain	28	Warm up; Strengthen- ing exercises (ankle cuff weights, starting at 0.5 kg); Balance exercises; Walk (usual pace, 10 min); Cool down (10 min)— MCPA	16	3	45	23	(1), (4), (5), (8)
Brüll 2023 (1) [28]	Germany	23	Warm-up (5 min); Pertur- bation blocks (4 min*four perturbation); Cool down (3 min)—MBE	6	3	25	10	() , ()
Brüll 2023 (2) [28]	Germany	27	Warm-up (5 min); Circuit training (unstable devices: standing, lunges, jump- ing, five stations devices * 3 min); Cool down (3 min)—MBE	6	3	25	11	() , ()
Chang 2011 [34]	Korea	10	Warm-up (5-10 min); Main exercises (upper extremity, lower extrem- ity muscular strength exercises, balancing exer- cises, 20–30 min); Cool down (5-10 min)—MBE	4	> 3	35	8	6
Chewning 2019 [35]	United States	94	Opening (5–10 min); Tai Chi; Warm-ups and basic moves instruction (20–30 min); Informal teatime (10 min); Group home practice enhancement activities (20–30 min); TCF short form instruction (10–25 min); Closing (5 min)—MBE	6	2	90	103	() , ()
Donatoni 2022 [36]	Ireland	17	Pilates intervention overview (warm-up, mat pilates with accessories, cool down, 10repetitions * 2-3 sets)—MCPA	12	2	60	31	3, 4, 9
Fakhro 2019 [37]	Lebanon	30	Warm-up (5 min); Balance training using the Wii Fit games (soccer heading + table tilt, 30 min); Cool down (5 min)—MBE	8	3	40	30	•

Author	Country	Experimenta	Control group	Primary outcome				
		Sample size	Intervention component	Cycles (week)	Frequency (times/week)	Time (min)	Sample size	indicator
Ferraro 2019 [38]	United Kingdom	23	IMT (equivalent to ~50% of baseline MIP, 30 breaths); Sham-IMT (corresponding to ~15% baseline MIP, 60 slow breaths)—MSA	8	7	30	23	() , (6)
Franco 2020 [39]	Brazil	35	Senior dance classes (moderate-level inten- sity)—MBE	12	2	60	36	2,6
Gabizon 2016 [40]	Israel	34	Lying exercises (thera- band); Sitting exercises; Sitting exercises; Thera- band exercises—MCPA	12	3	60	44	9
Granacher 2021 [41]	Germany	27	 band); Sitting exercises; Sitting exercises; Theraband exercises; Theraband exercises; Theraband exercises program that included balance exercises conducted during the daily tooth brushing routine (3 min * twice)—MBE Warm-up (10 min); Aerobic exercise (15 min); Progressive resistance strength training (12 repetitions, 2–3 sets); Eoot exercise (5 min); 		7	6	24	4, 5, 6
Hartmann 2009 (1) [42]	Switzerland	28	Warm-up (10 min); Aerobic exercise (15 min); Progressive resistance strength training (12 repetitions, 2–3 sets); Foot gymnastics (5 min); Stretching; Relaxation exercises (10 min); Home- program (2-min Warm up, 4-min foot gymnastics, 4-min stretching)—MCPA	12	2	50	7	9
Hartmann 2009 (2) [42]	Switzerland	28	Warm-up (10 min); Aerobic exercise (15 min); Progressive resistance strength training (12 rep- etitions, 2–3 sets); Stretch- ing; Relaxation exercises (10 min)—MSA	12	2	40	7	9
Hewitt 2018 [43]	Australia	113	Resistance; Weight-bear- ing balance; Functional group exercise sessions (10–15 repetitions, 2–3 sets, 30 min)—MCPA	25	2	60	108	8,9
Hirase 2015 (1) [44]	Japan	32	Warm-up (10 min); Bal- ance training (double- stance standing, one-leg standing, neck hyperex- tension, free-leg swing- ing, heel and toe raises, neck and trunk rotation, touching the floor, walk- ing in place, sideways walking, and forward walking, 40 min); Cool down (10 min)—MBE	16	1	60	15	() , ()

Author	Country	Experimenta	Control	Primary				
		Sample size	Intervention component	Cycles (week)	Frequency (times/week)	Time (min)	Sample size	indicator
Hirase 2015 (2) [44]	Japan	31	Warm-up(10 min);Balance training(double-stance standing, one-leg stand- ing, neck hyperexten- sion, free-leg swinging, heel and toe raises, neck and trunk rotation, touch- ing the floor, walking in place, sideways walk- ing, and forward walk- ing,40 min);Cool down(10 min)—MBE	16	1	60	15	() , ()
Hosseini 2018 [45]	Iran	30	Warm up (5 min); Tai Chi (35 min); Cool down (5 min)—MBE	8	2	55	30	(1) , (9)
Ing 2024 [46]	Malaysia	26	min)—MBE Warm up; Resistance exercise using strap-on weights; Balance training; Square-stepping; Cool down and backward chaining to train for get- ting up from the floor— MCPA Warm up:Static exercise		2	75	26	(1), (4), (6), ⑦
Jin 2012 [47]	Korea	17	Warm up;Static exercise (7 min * twice); Dynamic exercise (10 min); Progres- sive balance exercise (10 min); Cool down—MBE	4	2	60	18	①, ④, ③
Jung 2020 [48]	Japan	18	Movement of the lower extremity and spine to a greater extent; Put additional weight—MCPA	24	1	60	15	3, 4, 6
Kwon 2011 [49]	Korea	32	Warm-up (10 min); Walking exercise (20 min); Resistance train- ing with elastic band (8–10/10–15 repetitions, 20 min); Education ses- sions (30-60 min); Cool- down (10 min)—MSA	12	1	60	21	() , (8)
Lai 2013 [50]	China	15	Xavix Measured Step System (XMSS)—MBE	6	3	30	15	4,5
Lee 2017 [51]	Korea	27	Stretching (3 min); Warm- up (5 min); Main exercise (static exercise, dynamic exercise, progressive balance exercises, 40 min); Cool down (10 min)—MBE	4	2	60	27	(1), (3), (4), (5)

Author	Country	Experimenta	Control	Primary outcome				
		Sample size	Intervention component	Cycles (week)	Frequency (times/week)	Time (min)	Sample size	indicator
Lee 2023 [52]	Korea	28	Warm-up (warm-up exer- cise consisted of stretch- ing using the Ring Fit program and a leg massage using a massage ball, 10 min); Exercise (the participants performed yoga to increase balance, and leg and abdominal exercises to strengthen the lower-extremity muscles, 30 min); Cool down (stretching and breathing exercises, 10 min)—MBE	8	3	50	29	0, 3, 4, 5, 6
Machacova 2015 [53]	Czech	27	Warm-up (10 min); Main dance-based exercises (foxtrot, waltz, cha-cha- cha, cancan, and so on,40 min); Cool down (10 min)—MBE	12	1	60	25	
Manor 2014 [54]	United States	26	Raising the power; With- draw and push; Grasp the sparrow's tail; Brush knee twist step; Wave hand like clouds(20 min * three times weekly)— MBE	12	2	60	28	4, 5, 8
Naczk 2020 [55]	Poland	10	12 sets of exercises (upper and lower extremities, 10 kg/20 kg, 3 sets per mus- cle group)—MSA	6	2	30	10	\bigcirc
Oh 2020 [56]	Korea	11	MBE 12 sets of exercises (upper and lower extremities, 10 kg/20 kg, 3 sets per mus- cle group)—MSA Relax their bodies and meditate (10 min); Motor imagery training (20 min); Task-Oriented training (20 min)—MBF		3	40	12	(1), (5)
Ohtake 2013 [57]	Japan	92	Six types of stretching exercises (15 s * 5 sets); Six types of muscle strength training (3 s * 5 sets); Two types of balance training (3 s * 5 sets); Toe stretching; A resistance band was used for muscle strength training—MCPA	8	2	25	74	3, 4
Pepera 2022 [58]	Greece	20	Warm-up (10 min); Main component (30 min); Cool down (10 min)—MCPA	8	2	45	20	6
Pirouzi 2014 [29]	Iran	14	Warm-up (5 min); Forward treadmill training (10 min); Backward treadmill training (10 min); Cool down exercises (5 min)— MBE	4	3	30	15	6
Roller 2017 [59]	United States	27	The older adults walked in pairs or trios/an aerobic activity in an indoor space—MBE	10	1	45	28	(1), (5)

Author	Country	Experimenta	Control	Primary				
		Sample size	Intervention component	Cycles (week)	Frequency (times/week)	Time (min)	Sample size	indicator
Sadaqa 2024 [60]	Hungary	12	Walking + map read- ing—MBE	12	2	50	12	3, 4, 8
Sales 2016 [61]	Finland	27	Pilates (progressive resist- ance of 2–4 springs, 8–10 repetitions)—MCPA	18	2	60	21	①, ④, ⑦
Schilling 2009 [62]	-	10	Warm-up (range of motion exercises + light walking, 5 min); Progres- sive static and dynamic balance exercises (13–15 repetitions maximum, one or two sets,10 min); Strength exercises (15-20 min); Aerobic exercises (15-20 min); Cool down exercises (5 min).— MCPA	5	3	30	9	•
Schlicht 2001 [63]	United States	11	Warm-up (5-10 min); Core training (push-ups and taps on the plat- form, modified pull-ups and gangway, bal- ance stool, calf raises + finger steps, sit to stand and round snake pipe, ramp + net + climb through and sharp snake pipe, balance beam and hip extension, steps and screws/turners,step- ups and hip abduc- tion, 45-75 min); Cool down (5-10 min)—MBE	8	3	45	11	2, 6
Sedaghati 2022 [64]	Iran	14	Body squat; Leg circles; Extended reach; Standing balance; Sit-to-stand; Split squats; Forward trunk lean; Standing balance; Body squat; Trunk rota- tion; Diagonal trunk lean; Extended reach (3repeti- tions, 2–3 sets)—MBE	8	3	60	14	() , (5), (8)
Sitthiracha 2021 [65]	Thailand	30	Leg extension; Hip adduction; Hip abduction; Gluteal press; Leg press and ankle extension (75% 1RM, 10 repetitions, 2 sets)—MSA	8	5	40	30	(1), (2), (4), (6), (9)
Timon 2021 (1) [66]	Spain	18	Strength static balance; Dual-task; Corrective posture (first month); Strength; Dynamic bal- ance; Dual-task;Corrective posture (second month)—MCPA	24	3	45	9	1), 7), 9)
Timon 2021 (2) [66]	Spain	17	Warm up; Main part (PSME); Cool down—MBE	24	3	45	10	①, ⑦, ⑨

Author	Country	Experimenta	Control	Primary					
		Sample size	Intervention component	Cycles (week)	Frequency (times/week)	Time (min)	Sample size	indicator	
Printes 2024 (1) [30]	Brazil	24	Warm-up (10 min); Main part (strength training, 12-15repetitions * three sets, 6-8RPE, 4 kg-6 kg, 30 min); Cool down (5 min); 459 m—MSA	24	2	60	13	4	
Printes 2024 (2) [30]	Brazil	23	Warm-up (10 min); Main part (strength training, 12-15repetitions * three sets, 6-8RPE, 4 kg-6 kg, 30 min); Cool down (5 min); 2000 m, FIO2 = 16.1%— MSA	24	2	60	12	4	
Ullmann 2010 [67]	United States	25	Sitting; Reaching; Walking; Turning; Transfers (lying to sitting, sitting to stand- ing, and vice versa); Relaxation—MBE	5	3	60	22	•	
Whyatt 2015 [68]	United Kingdom	40	Apple Catch;Bubble Pop;Avoid the Shark;Smart Shrimp(30 min)—MBE	5	2	30	42	5	
Witte 2017 (1) [69]	Germany	28	Warm-up (10-15 min); Specific training (various stances, arm techniques during standing, simple attack and defense exercises, 40-45 min); Cool down (5 min)—MBE	20	2	60	13	6	
Witte 2017 (2) [69]	Germany	23	12-15repetitions * three sets, 6-8RPE, 4 kg-6 kg, 30 min); Cool down (5 min); 459 m—MSA Warm-up (10 min); Main part (strength training, 12-15repetitions * three sets, 6-8RPE, 4 kg-6 kg, 30 min); Cool down (5 min); 2000 m, FIO2 = 16.1%— MSA Sitting; Reaching; Walking; Turning; Transfers (lying to sitting, sitting to stand- ing, and vice versa); Relaxation—MBE Apple Catch;Bubble Pop;Avoid the Shark;Smart Shrimp(30 min)—MBE Warm-up (10-15 min); Specific training (various stances, arm techniques during standing, simple attack and defense exercises, 40-45 min); Cool down (5 min)—MBE Warm-up (10-15 min); Specific training (ele- ments of gymnastics, run- ning exercises practices with a ball and other hand devices, strength- ening exercises based on manuals, 40-45 min); Cool down (5 min)—MBE Begin Tai Chi; Part the horse's mane; Brush knee and push; Cloud hands; Open and close; Part the grass; Single whip; Finish Tai Chi.—MBE Begin Tai Chi; Roll the ball; Kick with the heel; Repulse the monkey; Gather the earth's qi; White crane spread wing; Fairy weaves the shuttle; Finish Tai Chi.—MBE		2	60	13	6	
Wu 2021 (1) [70]	United States	12	Begin Tai Chi; Part the horse's mane; Brush knee and push; Cloud hands; Open and close; Part the grass; Single whip; Finish Tai Chi.—MBE	12	3	60	5	1, 4, 7	
Wu 2021 (2) [70]	United States	13	Begin Tai Chi; Roll the ball; Kick with the heel; Repulse the monkey; Gather the earth's qi; White crane spread wing; Fairy weaves the shuttle; Finish Tai Chi.—MBE	12	3	60	5	₲, €, Ø	

Primary outcome indicator: ① the unipedal stance test with eyes open; ② the unipedal stance test with eyes closed; ③ functional reach; ④ "get-up and go" test: ⑤ berg balance scale; ⑥ five stands sit-to-stand; ⑦ the 30-s chair-stand test; ⑧ short physical performance battery; ⑨ falls efficacy scale-international. MCPA, multi-component physical activity; MSA, muscle-strengthening activity; MBE, mind-body exercise

Among the 82 subgroups analyzed, 47.56% (n = 39) demonstrated low heterogeneity, 42.68% (n = 35) moderate heterogeneity, and 9.76% (n = 8) high heterogeneity. The meta-analysis results showed significant improvements

in balance and strength across multiple indices, with effect sizes indicating clinically meaningful improvements. The different components of intervention programs (type, duration, frequency, and session length of

 Table 3
 Summary of exercise prescription variables

 characteristics
 Prescription

Prescription Variables	Grouping criteria	Proportion
Form	Multi-component physical activity	29.41% (n = 15)
	Muscle-strengthening activity	13.73% (n = 7)
	Mind-body exercise	56.86% (n = 29)
Cycle (week)	< 8	25.49% (n = 13)
	8 ≤Time < 12	21.57% (n = 11)
	12 ≤Time < 24	39.22% (n = 20)
	≥ 24	13.73% (n = 7)
Frequency (day/week)	< 3	54.9% (n = 28)
	3	37.25% (n = 19)
	> 3	7.84% (n = 4)
Time (minutes)	< 30 min	7.84% (n = 4)
	30 ≤Time < 45 min	25.49% (n = 13)
	45 ≤Time < 60 min	21.57% (n = 11)
	≥ 60 min	45.1% (n = 23)

exercise) had varying dose–response relationships with the results of fall risk screening tests in older adults. For instance, the subgroup analysis results indicated that the unipedal stance test with eyes open for older adults engaged in MCPA (g = 0.97, 95% CI 0.57–1.38, p < 0.05) was larger than others, indicating superior efficacy compared to MBE and MSA for enhancing static balance capacity, which directly correlated with reduced fall risk. Notably, different trends were observed regarding the optimal exercise prescription components (e.g., frequency, session duration) across metrics evaluating balance, muscular strength, and mental efficacy in older adults, necessitating evidence-based selection of optimal components to ensure personalized intervention protocols.

In addition, the results demonstrate that while exercise interventions and their optimal prescription components (cycle, frequency) induced statistically significant



Discussion

Fall risk

This study compared the effectiveness of different exercise regimes for decreasing fall risk and identifying the dose–effect relationship of regime elements and assessment indices. The results suggest that exercise interventions can reduce the risk of falls by improving balance, lower-extremity muscle strength, and physical mobility, as well as reducing the fear of falling in older adults. More importantly, the optimal intervention protocol according to subgroup results was better for delivering targeted exercise interventions, therefore improving the fall-preventing capacity for older adults.

Balance

Balance, as the basic ability to maintain the equilibrium of body posture, is very important to avoid falls. However, neuromuscular deficits (e.g., sarcopenia) associated with aging may lead to impaired physical performance and an increased risk for falls [73]. As the optimal and economic intervention, exercise intervention is a diverse, systematic, and organized approach at improving physical health [7, 8]. The favorable intervention effects of MCPA and MBE align with the findings of previous meta-analyses and systematic reviews while providing additional evidence-based support for optimizing fall risk reduction strategies in older adults [6–8, 14]. Both types



Fig. 2 Summary of risk of bias for each item presented as a percentage across all included studies



Fig. 3 Funnel plot for publication bias

Subgroup/Variables	Number of included	Heterog test	eneity	Effect model	Result of Meta-analysis	Egger's test	
	studies	Q(<i>P</i>)	l ² /%		Hedges's g with 95% Cl	Р	
The unipedal stance test with eyes close	3	P=0.01	73.52%	Random	0.36 [- 0.29, 1.01]	P= 0.28	-
The unipedal stance test with eyes open	11	P< 0.01	64.99%	Random	0.52 [0.21,0.83]	P< 0.01	P=0.87
Functional reach	8	P=0.10	41.64%	Fixed	0.53 [0.34, 0.71]	P<0.01	-
"Get – up and go" test	32	P< 0.01	59.23%	Random	- 0.52 [- 0.68, -0.37]	P< 0.01	P< 0.05
Berg balance scale	13	P< 0.01	62.29%	Random	0.74 [0.47, 1.01]	P<0.01	P< 0.05
Five stands sit-to-stand	15	P=0.02	48.14%	Fixed	- 0.61 [- 0.76, -0.47]	P<0.01	P = 0.47
The 30-s chair-stand test	11	P = 0.05	44.61%	Fixed	0.48 [0.32, 0.64]	P<0.01	P=0.52
Short physical performance battery	9	<i>P</i> < 0.01	79.66%	Random	0.51 [0.16, 0.86]	P<0.01	-
Falls efficacy scale-international	7	P<0.01	78.01%	Random	- 0.64 [- 1.07, -0.22]	P=0.01	-

Table 4 Total effect of exercise intervention on fall risk in older adults

emphasize center-of-gravity control and coordination between upper/lower body movements, thereby enhancing upper-body flexibility, agility, and balance proficiency in older adults [74]. MBE is highly suitable for older adults based on their physiological characteristics, and its ability to reduce the risk of falls has also been evidenced in several studies [75].

The results of this study found that MCPA with a longer duration is recommended to improve stability in older adults. Exercise-induced physiological adaptations demonstrate modality specificity: endurance training elevates maximal oxygen uptake through enhanced capillarization and aerobic enzyme activity, whereas resistance training augments force output via improved motor unit recruitment and hypertrophy [76]. Thus, evidence demonstrates that MCPA enhances neuroplasticity, improving older adults' cognitive function (e.g., planning capacity, selective/sustained attention) and physical capacity (aerobic endurance, lower-body strength, agility, balance/gait), with functional gains translating to daily living activities [77, 78]. It should be noticed, however, that exercise acceptance and adherence may be improved by the integration of exercise into one's daily routine in a family environment, although the amount and intensity of exercise cannot be guaranteed [79, 80]. Therefore, further studies should explore how exercise intensity and duration could be determined.

The study on the volume of exercise and balance ability in older adults found that the balance capacity of older adults required an intervention of at least 11–12 weeks to be improved effectively [81]. In addition, challenging balance and functional exercises three or more times a week for more than 12 weeks is recommended in *the guidelines* for older adults to prevent falls [14]. This study demonstrates that the dynamic balance ability of older adults can be improved effectively by resistance exercise for 12–24 weeks, at least three times a week, for about 30–45 min. The findings from the Berg scale subgroup analysis indicated that the shorter exercise intervention period with MCPA had significant efficacy in the intervention of the capacity of the scale. Primarily, this may be because the Berg scale test is slightly easier to complete for older adults, as only a short exercise intervention period is required to improve ability. One review article on fall risk screening instruments suggests that older adults had generally high scores in the Berg scale test due to a ceiling effect [82]. Despite limitations in assessing balance improvements in high-functioning older adults, the scale remains a valid screening tool for fall risk. Future studies should further develop quantitative screening tools and establish specific cut-off values. The marked Intergroup variability in physiological profiles among older adults might constitute a key source of heterogeneity, as evidenced by subgroup analyses revealing maximal $I^2 = 93.5\%$ when comparing studies [52, 65] with different average ages (about ten years difference) and baseline capacities of the experimental group (e.g., The unipedal stance test with eyes open, experimental group: 28.09 vs. 11.5 s; control group: 27.13 vs.16.3 s). In addition, metaregression analysis identified that intervention session time might as a significant contributor to heterogeneity (p < 0.05). Our study was based on *the guidelines* emphasizing Predictive, Preventative, Personalised, and Participatory (4P) principles while providing empirical support for standardizing interventions through the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, and Evaluation framework's evidence-grading architecture.

Lower-extremity muscle strength and physical activity

Resistance training is the only known non-pharmacological intervention that is capable of counteracting the loss of bone and skeletal muscle, muscular strength, and

		ream	ent		Contr	0			neages s g	weight
Study	N	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD			with 95% CI	(%)
Jin 2012	17	48.33	38.45	18	33.19	25.08	-		0.46 [-0.20, 1.12]	8.74
Timon 2021 (1)	18	20.9	12.2	19	23.4	15.4	_		-0.18 [-0.81, 0.46]	8.99
Timon 2021 (2)	17	32.8	12.7	19	23.4	15.4		_	0.65 [-0.01, 1.30]	8.73
Sitthiracha 2021	30	11.2	10.1	30	13.3	10.9	_	_	-0.20 [-0.70, 0.30]	10.42
Lee 2017	27	39.6	11.1	27	30.8	12.9		_	0.72 [0.18, 1.26]	9.95
Lee 2023	28	36.43	5.5	29	27.75	7.35			1.32 [0.75, 1.88]	9.69
Benavent 2015	28	39.1	21.6	23	15.6	12.1			- 1.29 [0.69, 1.89]	9.35
Wu 2021 (1)	12	36.7	31.8	10	26.1	18.2	-	-	0.38 [-0.43, 1.20]	7.23
Wu 2021 (2)	13	43.7	47.2	10	26.1	18.2	-	-	0.45 [-0.35, 1.26]	7.31
Sales 2016	27	17.3	11.3	21	16	9.2	-		0.12 [-0.44, 0.68]	9.75
Ing 2024	26	38.7	25.03	26	24.07	14.71			0.70 [0.15, 1.25]	9.85
Overall								-	0.52 [0.21, 0.83]	
Heterogeneity: T ²	= 0.18	, I ² = 64	.99%, H	r ² = ;	2.86					
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1	0) = 2	9.63, p	= 0.00							
Test of $\theta = 0$: $z = 3$	3.27, p	= 0.00								
							1 0) 1	2	
									-	

The unipedal stance test with eyes open

Study	N	Treatme Mean	ent SD	N	Contre Mean	ol SD	Hedges's g with 95% Cl	Weight (%)
								,
Sadaqa2024	12	36.5	8.1	12	32.4	6	0.56 [-0.23, 1.34]	5.48
Donatoni 2022	17	29.7	3.75	31	27.26	6.18	0.44 [-0.15, 1.03]	9.84
Granacher 2021	27	38.2	4.7	24	38.8	5.8	-0.11 [-0.65, 0.43]	11.60
Jin 2012	17	34.01	2.81	18	29.24	4.73	1.19 [0.48, 1.89]	6.85
Jung 2020	18	35.4	3.2	15	30.5	5	1.16 [0.44, 1.89]	6.48
Lee 2017	27	37.4	4.2	27	35.5	4.3	0.44 [-0.09, 0.97]	12.02
Lee 2023	28	26.57	3.79	29	24.52	2.76	0.61 [0.09, 1.14]	12.39
Ohtake 2013	91	27.1	7.4	74	22.9	8.9		35.34
Overall							0.53 [0.34, 0.71]	
Heterogeneity: I2	= 41.)	64%, H ²	= 1.7	1				
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(7)	7) = 1	1.99. p •	0.10					
Test of θ = 0: z =	5.61,	p = 0.00)					
							1 0 1 2	
Eixed offects inver	eo. 110	rianco n	lobor					

Functional reach



Short physical performance battery



The 30-s chair-stand test





"Get-up and go" test



Berg balance scale



Five stands sit-to-stand

Falls efficacy scale-international

Fig. 4 Forest plot of the meta-analysis regarding the effect of exercise intervention on fall risk in older adults

Table 5 The results of meta-regression analysis

Screening tools\Exercise types	Covariates	Coef	р	95% CI				
The unipedal stance test with eves open								
MBE	Cycle	-0.18	0.68	-1.44-1.08				
l ² = 63.93%, Random	Frequency	0.06	0.94	-2.51-2.64				
g = 0.97, 95% CI 0.57 to 1.38	Time	0.21	0.8	-2.16-2.58				
Functional reach								
MCPA	Cycle	0.71	0.38	-5.33-6.75				
$l^2 = 0\%$, Fixed a = 0.58, 95% (1.0.34 to 0.82)	Frequency							
g = 0.50, 95 % CI 0.54 to 0.62	Time	-0.27	0.47	-3.33-2.79				
MBE	Cycle	0.2	0.86	-10.93-11.32				
r = 67.13%, Kandom q = 0.50.95% Cl 0.01 to 1.00	Frequency							
g 0.50, 55% cr 0.01 to 1.00	Time	0.36	0.49	-4.16-4.88				
"Get-up and go" test								
MCPA $l^2 = 58.07\%$ Pandom	Cycle	-0.25	0.24	-0.75-0.25				
q = -0.35, 95% Cl -0.65	Frequency	-0.44	0.18	-1.19-0.31				
to -0.04	Time	-0.12	0.34	-0.43-0.19				
MBE	Cycle	-0.21	0.06	-0.44-0.01				
$l^2 = 58.78\%$, Random	Frequency	0.26	0.21	-0.18-0.71				
to -0.36	Time	0.15	0.26	-0.12-0.43				
Berg balance scale								
MCPA	Cycle	-0.57	0.68	-14.01-12.86				
l ² = 79.47%, Random	Frequency	0.16	0.93	-17.52-17.85				
g = 0.73, 95% CI 0.20 to 1.25	Time	0.56	0.76	-17.41-18.55				
MBE	Cycle	0.04	0.83	-0.43-0.5				
l ² = 53.86%, Random	Frequency	0.51	0.09	-0.13-1.15				
g = 0.77, 95% CI 0.44 to 1.10	Time	-0.1	0.59	-0.56-0.37				
Five stands sit-to-stand								
MCPA	Cycle	-0.41	0.28	-2.87-2.04				
I ² = 62.53%, Random	Frequency	omitted						
to -0.23	Time	omitted						
MBE	Cycle	-0.65	0.29	-2.04-0.73				
$l^2 = 45.63\%$, Fixed	Frequency	-1.38	0.08	-3-0.25				
g = -0.62, 95% CI - 0.80	Time	-0.76	0.1	-1.72-0.21				
The 30-s chair-stand test								
MSA	Cvcle	0.16	0.5	-1.91-2.24				
l ² = 22.89%, Fixed	Frequency	omitted						
g =0.54, 95% Cl 0.13 to 0.94	Time	omitted						
MBE	Cycle	-0.02	0.88	-0.42-0.37				
l ² = 63.34%, Random	Frequency	0.3	0.47	-0.85-1.46				
g = 0.09, 95% CI - 0.39	Time	0.38	0.09	-0.1-1.46				
Short physical performance by	attory							
MCPA	Cycle	-0.39	017	-1.07-0.3				
l ² = 79.66%, Random	Frequency	-0.17	0.57	-1.04-0.69				
g = 0.51, 95% Cl 0.16 to 0.86	Time	0.51	0.04	0.02-1.00				
Falls efficacy scale-internation	al	5.5 1	0.0-	5.02 1.00				
MCPA	Cycle	-0.34	0.48	-4.46-3.78				
l ² = 44.55%, Fixed	Frequency	omitted						
g = -0.27, 95% CI -0.49	Time	0.76	0.34	-4.97-6.48				
10 -0.05			-					

Table 5 (continued)

Abbreviations: MCPA multi-component physical activity, MSA muscle-
strengthening activity, MBE mind-body exercise, Random random-effect model,
Fixed fixed-effect model. I ² , Heterogeneity test statistic; g, Pooled effect size
(Hedges'g) for exercise-type subgroup analysis; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval

speed qualities due to aging [83]. There is growing evidence suggesting that resistance exercise with relatively brief sessions can enhance the timeliness of exercise and improve muscle strength-related indices, leading to further improvements in independence and quality of life among older adults compared with conventional resistance exercise with greater total volume but poor engagement and adherence [83].

Exercises for fall prevention should provide a challenge to balance, which is beneficial for both improving balance ability and reducing the risk of falls [12]. Hewitt et al. [43] found that progressive resistance training at moderate intensities and high-challenge balance training significantly improved physical functioning and decreased the fall risk of older adults in senior care facilities. Our study findings are generally consistent with results from previous studies, from which we can identify specific intervention protocols. According to the schemes adopted in the included studies and the potential need for a longer recovery period in the elderly, the effectiveness of lowerextremity muscle strength and physical activity for older adults can be better improved with exercise interventions two times a week, for over 45 min of exercise each time, and a total duration of more than 12 weeks.

Despite different interventions and times of exercise improving lower-extremity muscle strength in older adults, differences were found in the optimal exercise regimes based on the pooled effect sizes of five stands sitto-stand and the 30-s chair-stand tests. The five stands sit-to-stand among healthy seniors can be completed in about 10 s, whereas the 30-s chair-stand tests need to be performed with as many repetitions as possible in a 30-s time period. Differences in muscle type and energy metabolism processes may be observed in older adults due to different completion times for the tests. With increases in age, there is a reduction in myofiber diameter and total number, and the internal arrangement of skeletal muscle changes, in which type II muscle fibers become increasingly susceptible to the effects of age than type I muscle fibers [84]. Moreover, exercise intervention regimes for older adults should include increased exercise intensity and duration over 12-24 weeks, with low-intensity exercise to increase the effects of resistance exercise on the function of the myofiber contractile protein [76], which was consistent with our study. Moreover, based on these results, increasing frequency, extending the cycle, and shortening the time with MCPA

studies Hedges's g with 95%. CI P The unjeedal stance test with yess open Intervention form MCPA 2 P=0.06 66.06/96 Reduce test with 95%. CI P MSA 2 P=0.05 66.06/96 Reduce test with 95%. CI P Cycle CS P<0.05	Subgroup/Variables		Grouping criteria	Number of included	Heterogeneity test		Effect model	Result of Meta-analysis	
The unipidal stance test with eyes open Intervention form MCPA 2 P = 0.16 49.78% Fixed 0.97 (0.57, 1.38) P < 0.01				studies	Q(<i>P</i>)	l ² /%		Hedges's g with 95% Cl	Р
Intervention formMCPA2P=0.1649786Fixed0.971.7381P<0.01MSA2P=0.0868.06%Random0.231-0.58,1.041P=0.57CycleP=0.0163.95%Random0.4610.07,0.58,1.041P=0.02Cycle2P=0.0566.95%Random0.4610.07,0.081P=0.0212.5 Time <24	The unipedal stance test	t with eyes open							
MSA2P=0.0868.06%Random0.0.25, 1.0.3P=0.02Cycle8.3 Time <12	Intervention form	MCPA		2	P = 0.16	49.78%	Fixed	0.97 [0.57, 1.38]	P < 0.01
MBE7P=0.0163.3%Random0.66 (0.7, 0.85)P=0.00Cycle (week)8 Time <12		MSA		2	P = 0.08	68.06%	Random	0.23 [- 0.58, 1.04]	P = 0.57
Cycle (week) 8 aTime < 122P=0.05 P<0.01% Peded0.05 [-0.03, 1.03]P<0.01 P=0.0412 Time < 24		MBE		7	P = 0.01	63.93%	Random	0.46 [0.07, 0.85]	P = 0.02
(week) 8 sTime <12 2 P < 0.01 9.35% Random 0.05 [- 0.32, 2.04] P = 0.46 2 sTime <2.4	Cycle	< 8		2	P = 0.55	0%	Fixed	0.61 [0.20, 1.03]	P< 0.01
Image: Section of the sectio	(week)	8 ≤Time < 12		2	P< 0.01	93.5%	Random	0.55 [- 0.93, 2.04]	P = 0.46
2243P=0.0950.4%Random0.40 [= 0.15, 0.55]P=0.16Frequency (day/week)36P=0.106.8Random0.51 [0.22, 0.80]P<0.01		12 ≤Time < 24		4	P = 0.04	61.32%	Random	0.57 [0.03, 1.12]	P = 0.04
Frequency (day/week)<3<4 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 P<0.01 <td></td> <td>≥ 24</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>P = 0.09</td> <td>59.04%</td> <td>Random</td> <td>0.40 [- 0.15, 0.95]</td> <td>P = 0.16</td>		≥ 24		3	P = 0.09	59.04%	Random	0.40 [- 0.15, 0.95]	P = 0.16
(day/week) 3 6 P<0.01 68.3% Random 0.68 [0.19, 1.16] P< 0.01 P >3 1 -	Frequency	< 3		4	P = 0.41	0%	Fixed	0.51 [0.22, 0.80]	P< 0.01
>31 <th< td=""><td>(day/week)</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>6</td><td><i>P</i> < 0.01</td><td>68.3%</td><td>Random</td><td>0.68 [0.19, 1.16]</td><td>P < 0.01</td></th<>	(day/week)	3		6	<i>P</i> < 0.01	68.3%	Random	0.68 [0.19, 1.16]	P < 0.01
Time (minutes)< 30<<<<<< </td <td></td> <td>> 3</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>		> 3		1	-	-	-	-	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Time (minutes)	< 30		-	-	-	-	-	-
A5 <time 60<="" <="" th="">4P< 0.0180.17%Random0.78 [0.09, 1.47]P= 0.03Functional reachP= 0.700%Fixed0.49 [0.23, 0.75]P< 0.01</time>		30 ≤Time < 45		1	-	-	-	-	-
beforebefor		45 ≤Time < 60		4	P< 0.01	80.17%	Random	0.78 [0.09, 1.47]	P = 0.03
Functional reach MCPA 4 P=0.41 0% Ked 0.58 [0.34, 0.82] P<0.01 MSA - <		≥ 60		6	P = 0.70	0%	Fixed	0.49 [0.23, 0.75]	P< 0.01
Intervention formMCPA4P=0.010%Fixed0.58 [0.34, 0.82]P<0.01MSA <td< td=""><td>Functional reach</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Functional reach								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Intervention form	MCPA		4	P = 0.41	0%	Fixed	0.58 [0.34, 0.82]	<i>P</i> < 0.01
MBE4 $P = 0.3$ 67.3% Random $0.50 [0.01, 1.00]$ $P < 0.05$ Cycle (week) < 8 2 $P = 0.1$ 63.7% Random $0.78 [0.05, 1.51]$ $P < 0.04$ $8 < Time < 12$ 3 $P = 0.5$ 57.6% Random $0.37 [-0.03, 0.77]$ $P = 0.1$ $12 < Time < 24$ 2 $P = 0.82$ 9.6% Fixed $0.48 [-0.01, 0.95]$ $P < 0.05$ Frequency (day/week) 3 6 $P = 0.32$ 15.4% 6 $P < 0.05$ 7.4% 3 1 $ 3$ 3 1 $ 3$ 3 1 $ 3$ 3 1 $ 3$ 3 1 $ -$ <		MSA		-	-	-	-	-	-
		MBE		4	P = 0.03	67.13%	Random	0.50 [0.01, 1.00]	P < 0.05
Normal Section Section <thsection< th=""> Section Section</thsection<>	Cycle (week)	< 8		2	P = 0.1	63.78%	Random	0.78 [0.05, 1.51]	P< 0.04
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· · ·	8 ≤Time < 12		3	P = 0.05	57.64%	Random	0.37 [- 0.03, 0.77]	P = 0.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12 ≤Time < 24		2	P = 0.82	0%	Fixed	0.48 [- 0.01, 0.95]	P< 0.05
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		≥ 24		1	-	-	-	-	-
31> 31Time (minutes) < 30 2 $P = 0.05$ 74.29%Random 0.24 [$-0.37, 0.85$] $P = 0.44$ $30 \le Time < 45$ $45 \le Time < 60$ 2 $P = 0.91$ 0%Fixed 0.59 [$0.16, 1.03$] $P < 0.01$ ≥ 60 4 $P = 0.17$ 40.78% Fixed 0.72 [$0.41, 1.03$] $P < 0.01$ "Get-up and go" testIntervention formMCPA8 $P < 0.01$ 58.07% Random -0.35 [$-0.65, -0.04$] $P < 0.05$ MSA2 $P = 0.53$ 0%Fixed -0.36 [$-1.27, -0.45$] $P < 0.01$ MBE22 $P < 0.01$ 58.78% Random -0.56 [$-0.76, -0.36$] $P < 0.01$ Cycle (week)<8	Frequency (day/week)	< 3		6	P = 0.32	15.40%	Fixed	0.61 [0.40, 0.82]	<i>P</i> < 0.01
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		3		1	-	-	-	-	-
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		> 3		1	-	-	-	-	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Time (minutes)	< 30		2	P = 0.05	74.29%	Random	0.24 [- 0.37, 0.85]	P = 0.44
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	30 ≤Time < 45		-	-	-	-	-	-
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		45 ≤Time < 60		2	P = 0.91	0%	Fixed	0.59 [0.16, 1.03]	<i>P</i> < 0.01
"Get-up and go" test MCPA 8 $P < 0.01$ 58.07% Random $-0.35 [-0.65, -0.04]$ $P < 0.05$ MSA 2 $P = 0.53$ 0% Fixed $-0.86 [-1.27, -0.45]$ $P < 0.01$ MBE 22 $P < 0.01$ 58.7% Random $-0.56 [-0.76, -0.36]$ $P < 0.01$ Cycle (week) <8		> 60		4	P = 0.17	40.78%	Fixed	0.72 [0.41, 1.03]	P< 0.01
Intervention formMCPA8 $P < 0.01$ 58.07% Random $-0.35 [-0.65, -0.04]$ $P < 0.05$ MSA2 $P = 0.53$ 0%Fixed $-0.86 [-1.27, -0.45]$ $P < 0.01$ MBE22 $P < 0.01$ 58.78% Random $-0.56 [-0.76, -0.36]$ $P < 0.01$ Cycle (week)<8	"Get-up and go" test								
$ \begin{array}{c} MSA & 2 & P = 0.53 & 0\% & Fixed & -0.86 \begin{bmatrix} -1.27, -0.45 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ MBE & 22 & P < 0.01 & 58.78\% & Random & -0.56 \begin{bmatrix} -0.76, -0.36 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ Cycle (week) & <8 & 9 & P = 0.13 & 36.45\% & Fixed & -0.31 \begin{bmatrix} -0.49, -0.13 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 8 \leq Time < 12 & 9 & P < 0.01 & 73.92\% & Random & -0.51 \begin{bmatrix} -0.70, -0.32 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 12 < Time < 24 & 10 & P = 0.41 & 20.53\% & Fixed & -0.51 \begin{bmatrix} -0.70, -0.32 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ \ge 24 & 4 & P = 0.18 & 38.5\% & Fixed & -1.01 \begin{bmatrix} -1.35, -0.68 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 3 & 12 & P = 0.13 & 32.15\% & Fixed & -0.56 \begin{bmatrix} -0.81, -0.31 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 3 & 12 & P = 0.13 & 32.15\% & Fixed & -0.50 \begin{bmatrix} -0.69, -0.31 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 3 & 12 & P = 0.13 & 32.15\% & Fixed & -0.50 \begin{bmatrix} -0.69, -0.31 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 3 & 3 & P = 0.47 & 0\% & Fixed & -0.49 \begin{bmatrix} -0.81, -0.18 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 3 & 3 & P = 0.47 & 0\% & Fixed & -0.49 \begin{bmatrix} -0.71, -0.29 \end{bmatrix} & P = 0.61 \\ 30 < Time < 45 & 6 & P = 0.59 & 0\% & Fixed & -0.77 \begin{bmatrix} -1.03, -0.51 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 45 < Time < 60 & 5 & P = 0.02 & 66.28\% & Random & -0.53 \begin{bmatrix} -0.78, -0.36 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 45 < 70 & 8andom & -0.53 \begin{bmatrix} -0.77, -0.09 \end{bmatrix} & P = 0.02 \\ 60 & 17 & P < 0.01 & 54.57\% & 8andom & -0.57 \begin{bmatrix} -0.78, -0.36 \end{bmatrix} & P < 0.01 \\ 71 & 71 & 72 & 72 & 73 & 73 & 73 & 73 & 73 & 74 & 74 & 74 & 74 & 74 & 75$	Intervention form	MCPA		8	P< 0.01	58.07%	Random	- 0.35 [- 0.65, -0.04]	P< 0.05
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{MBE} & 22 & P < 0.01 & 58.78\% & \text{Random} & -0.56 [-0.76, -0.36] & P < 0.01 \\ \text{S} < \text{Cycle (week)} & <8 & 9 & P = 0.13 & 36.45\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.31 [-0.49, -0.13] & P < 0.01 \\ \text{B} \leq \text{Time} < 12 & 9 & P < 0.01 & 73.92\% & \text{Random} & -0.51 [-0.84, -0.17] & P < 0.01 \\ 12 < \text{Time} < 24 & 10 & P = 0.41 & 20.53\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.51 [-0.70, -0.32] & P < 0.01 \\ \geq 24 & 4 & P = 0.18 & 38.5\% & \text{Fixed} & -1.01 [-1.35, -0.68] & P < 0.01 \\ 3 & 12 & P = 0.13 & 32.15\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.56 [-0.81, -0.31] & P < 0.01 \\ 3 & 12 & P = 0.13 & 32.15\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.56 [-0.81, -0.31] & P < 0.01 \\ > 3 & 12 & P = 0.13 & 32.15\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.50 [-0.69, -0.31] & P < 0.01 \\ > 3 & 12 & P = 0.13 & 32.15\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.49 [-0.81, -0.18] & P < 0.01 \\ > 3 & 0 < \text{Time (minutes)} & <30 & 4 & P = 0.32 & 15.06\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.77 [-1.03, -0.51] & P < 0.01 \\ 30 < \text{Time} < 45 & 6 & P = 0.59 & 0\% & \text{Fixed} & -0.77 [-1.03, -0.51] & P < 0.01 \\ 45 < \text{Time} < 60 & 5 & P = 0.02 & 66.28\% & \text{Random} & -0.53 [-0.97, -0.09] & P = 0.02 \\ > 60 & 17 & P < 0.01 & 54.57\% & \text{Random} & -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] & P < 0.01 \\ \end{array}$		MSA		2	P = 0.53	0%	Fixed	- 0.86 [- 1.27 0.45]	P < 0.01
Cycle (week)< 89 $P = 0.13$ 36.45% Fixed $-0.31 [-0.49, -0.13]$ $P < 0.01$ $8 \le Time < 12$ 9 $P < 0.01$ 73.92% Random $-0.51 [-0.49, -0.13]$ $P < 0.01$ $12 \le Time < 24$ 10 $P = 0.41$ 20.53% Fixed $-0.51 [-0.70, -0.32]$ $P < 0.01$ ≥ 24 4 $P = 0.18$ 38.5% Fixed $-1.01 [-1.35, -0.68]$ $P < 0.01$ Frequency (day/week)<3		MBF		- 22	P < 0.01	58.78%	Random	-0.56[-0.76, -0.36]	P < 0.01
$8 \le \text{Time} < 12 \qquad 9 \qquad P < 0.01 73.92\% \text{Random} \qquad -0.51 [-0.84, -0.17] \qquad P < 0.01 \\ 12 \le \text{Time} < 24 \qquad 10 \qquad P = 0.41 20.53\% \text{Fixed} \qquad -0.51 [-0.70, -0.32] \qquad P < 0.01 \\ \ge 24 \qquad 4 \qquad P = 0.18 38.5\% \text{Fixed} \qquad -1.01 [-1.35, -0.68] P < 0.01 \\ 3 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 73.40\% \text{Random} \qquad -0.56 [-0.81, -0.31] P < 0.01 \\ 3 \qquad 12 \qquad P = 0.13 32.15\% \text{Fixed} \qquad -0.50 [-0.69, -0.31] P < 0.01 \\ > 3 \qquad 3 \qquad P = 0.47 0\% \text{Fixed} \qquad -0.49 [-0.81, -0.18] P < 0.01 \\ 3 \qquad 12 \qquad P = 0.32 15.06\% \text{Fixed} \qquad -0.49 [-0.81, -0.18] P < 0.01 \\ 3 \qquad 12 \qquad P = 0.32 15.06\% \text{Fixed} \qquad -0.77 [-1.03, -0.51] P < 0.01 \\ 3 \qquad 12 \qquad P = 0.50 P = 0.50 P = 0.50 P < 0.01 \\ 45 \le \text{Time} < 60 \qquad 5 \qquad P = 0.02 66.28\% \text{Random} \qquad -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ 45 \le \text{Time} < 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} \qquad -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.02 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.02 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.02 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.02 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.02 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ 0 = 0.57 P < 0.01 $	Cycle (week)	< 8		9	P = 0.13	36.45%	Fixed	-0.31[-0.49, -0.13]	P < 0.01
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-)()	8 < Time < 12		9	P < 0.01	73.92%	Random	-0.51[-0.84, -0.17]	P< 0.01
≥ 24 4 $P = 0.18$ 38.5% Fixed $-1.01 [-1.35, -0.68]$ $P < 0.01$ Frequency (day/week)<3		12 <time 24<="" <="" td=""><td></td><td>10</td><td>P = 0.41</td><td>20.53%</td><td>Fixed</td><td>-0.51[-0.70] -0.32]</td><td>P< 0.01</td></time>		10	P = 0.41	20.53%	Fixed	-0.51[-0.70] -0.32]	P< 0.01
Frequency (day/week)< 317 $P < 0.01$ 73.40% Random $-0.56 [-0.81, -0.31]$ $P < 0.01$ 312 $P = 0.13$ 32.15% Fixed $-0.50 [-0.69, -0.31]$ $P < 0.01$ > 33 $P = 0.47$ 0%Fixed $-0.49 [-0.81, -0.18]$ $P < 0.01$ Time (minutes)< 30		> 24		4	P = 0.18	38.5%	Fixed	-1.01[-1.35] - 0.68]	P< 0.01
312 $P = 0.13$ 32.15% Fixed $-0.50 [-0.69, -0.31]$ $P < 0.01$ >33 $P = 0.47$ 0%Fixed $-0.49 [-0.81, -0.18]$ $P < 0.01$ Time (minutes)< 30	Frequency (day/week)	< 3		17	P< 0.01	73 40%	Random	-0.56[-0.81, -0.31]	P< 0.01
> 33 $P = 0.47$ 0%Fixed $-0.49 [-0.81, -0.18]$ $P < 0.01$ Time (minutes)< 30		3		12	P = 0.13	32.15%	Fixed	-0.50[-0.69, -0.31]	P < 0.01
Time (minutes)< 304 $P = 0.32$ 15.06%Fixed $0.06 [-0.17, 0.29]$ $P = 0.61$ $30 \le \text{Time} < 45$ 6 $P = 0.59$ 0%Fixed $-0.77 [-1.03, -0.51]$ $P < 0.01$ $45 \le \text{Time} < 60$ 5 $P = 0.02$ 66.28% Random $-0.53 [-0.97, -0.09]$ $P = 0.02$ > 6017 $P < 0.01$ 54.57% Bandom $-0.57 [-0.78, -0.36]$ $P < 0.01$		> 3		3	P = 0.47	0%	Fixed	-0.49[-0.81, -0.18]	P<001
$30 \le \text{Time} < 45$ 6 $P = 0.59$ 0% Fixed $-0.77 [-1.03, -0.51]$ $P < 0.01$ $45 \le \text{Time} < 60$ 5 $P = 0.02$ 66.28% Random $-0.53 [-0.97, -0.09]$ $P = 0.02$ >60 17 $P < 0.01$ 54.57% Random $-0.57 [-0.78, -0.36]$ $P < 0.01$	Time (minutes)	< 30		-	P = 0.37	15.06%	Fixed	0.06 [- 0.17. 0.29]	P = 0.61
$45 \leq \text{Time} < 60 \qquad 5 \qquad P = 0.02 66.28\% \text{Random} -0.53 [-0.97, -0.09] P = 0.02 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 17 \qquad P < 0.01 54.57\% \text{Random} -0.57 [-0.78, -0.36] P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad 10 \qquad P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad P < 0.01 P < 0.01 \\ > 60 \qquad P < 0.01 $		30 < Time < 45			P = 0.52	0%	Fixed	- 0.77 [- 1.03 - 0.51]	P<0.01
>60 17 $P < 0.01 54 57%$ Random $= 0.57 [= 0.78 = 0.36]$ $P < 0.01$		45 < Time < 60		5	P = 0.07	66.28%	Random	- 0.53 [- 0.97 - 0.09]	P = 0.07
		> 60		- 17	P<0.02	54 57%	Random	-0.57[-0.78 - 0.36]	P< 0.02

Table 6 Results of each subgroup analysis

Subgroup/Variables Grouping criteria Number of Heterogeneity Effect model Result of Meta-analysis included test studies $|^{2}/\%$ Q(P)Hedges's g with 95% CI P Berg balance scale Intervention form MCPA 5 P<0.01 79.47% Random 0.73 [0.20, 1.25] P < 0.01 MSA MBE 8 P = 0.0453.86% Random 0.77 [0.44, 1.10] P<0.01 5 P = 0.02Cycle (week) < 8 69.36% Random 0.79 [0.27, 1.31] P<0.01 8 ≤ Time < 12 4 P< 0.01 80.30% Random 0.89 [0.21, 1.57] P < 0.01 12 ≤Time < 24 4 P=0.28 21.5% Fixed 0.56 [0.30, 0.81] P < 0.01≥ 24 5 $P = 0.68 \quad 0\%$ Fixed P < 0.01 Frequency < 3 0.41 [0.20, 0.63] (day/week) 3 7 *P*=0.01 64.85% Random 1.02 [0.60, 1.44] P < 0.01 > 3 1 Time < 30 (minutes) 30 ≤Time < 45 5 P = 0.03 59.32% P<0.01 Random 1.02 [0.50, 1.53] 45 ≤Time < 60 4 P = 0.2919.41% Fixed 0.60 [0.35, 0.85] P<0.01 ≥ 60 Δ P<0.01 84.41% Random 0.70 [- 0.02, 1.42] P = 0.05Five stands sit-to-stand 3 Intervention form MCPA P=0.07 62.53% Random -0.83 [-1.43, -0.23] P < 0.01MSA 2 P=0.19 42.88% - 0.25 [- 0.72, 0.22] P = 0.30Fixed MBE 10 *P* < 0.01 45.63% Fixed - 0.62 [- 0.80, -0.45] P = 0.06Cycle < 8 (week) 8 ≤Time < 12 7 P = 0.143.39% Fixed - 0.53 [- 0.76, - 0.29] P<0.01 12 ≤Time < 24 6 P = 0.0653.28% Random - 0.58 [- 0.90, - 0.27] P<0.01 ≥ 24 2 P = 0.410% Fixed - 1.10 [- 1.55, -0.65] P < 0.01Frequency < 3 9 P = 0.0353.01% Random - 0.65 [- 0.93, - 0.38] P < 0.01 (day/week) 3 3 P = 0.1644.78% Fixed - 0.36 [- 0.77, 0.05] P = 0.08> 3 3 P = 0.155.85% Random - 0.69 [- 1.18, -0.21] P = 0.01Time < 30 1 (minutes) 30 ≤Time < 45 2 $P = 0.08 \quad 66.77\%$ Random -0.83[-1.52, -0.15]P = 0.0245 ≤Time < 60 4 P = 0.3018.48% Fixed - 0.33 [- 0.67, 0.01] P = 0.05≥ 60 8 P=0.03 55.02% Random - 0.70 [- 0.99, -0.41] P<0.01 The 30-s chair-stand test MCPA Intervention form 1 3 MSA P = 0.2722.89% Fixed 0.54 [0.13, 0.94] P<0.01 MBE 7 P=0.03 58.93% Random 0.36 [- 0.02, 0.70] P = 0.04Cycle < 8 4 *P*=0.03 63.34% Random 0.09 [- 0.39, 0.56] P = 0.72(week) 8 ≤ Time < 12 *P* < 0.01 12 ≤Time < 24 5 $P = 0.59 \quad 0\%$ Fixed 0.65 [0.39, 0.90] ≥ 24 2 P = 0.2038.07% Fixed 0.65 [0.18, 1.11] P<0.01 < 3 5 *P*=0.50 0% Fixed 0.55 [0.36, 0.75] P < 0.01Frequency (day/week) 3 6 *P*=0.02 61.48% Random 0.36 [-0.11, 0.83] P = 0.13> 3 2 P = 0.21Time < 30 P=0.98 0% Fixed - 0.30 [- 0.77, 0.16] (minutes) 30 ≤Time < 45 1 3 45 ≤Time < 60 P < 0.01 $P = 0.43 \quad 0\%$ Fixed 0.69 [0.38, 1.00] ≥ 60 5 P = 0.610% Fixed 0.57 [0.35, 0.78] P< 0.01

Subgroup/Variables G		Grouping criteria	Number of included studies	Heterogeneity test		Effect model	Result of Meta-analysis	
				Q(<i>P</i>)	l ² /%		Hedges's g with 95% Cl	Р
Short physical perform	nance battery							
Intervention form	MCPA		9	<i>P</i> < 0.01	79.66%	Random	0.51 [0.16, 0.86]	P<0.01
	MSA		-	-	-	-	-	-
	MBE		-	-	-	-	-	-
Cycle	< 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
(week)	8 ≤ Time < 12		1	-	-	-	-	-
	12 ≤Time < 24		7	P< 0.01	74.45%	Random	0.37 [0.01, 0.73]	P< 0.05
	≥ 24		1	-	-	-	-	-
Frequency	< 3		5	P = 0.06	59.09%	Random	0.69 [0.35, 1.02]	P<0.01
(day/week)	3		4	P<0.01	85.21%	Random	0.34 [- 0.30, 0.97]	P = 0.30
	> 3		-	-	-	-	-	-
Time	< 30		-	-	-	-	-	-
(minutes)	30 ≤Time < 45		2	P = 0.58	0%	Fixed	- 0.04 [- 0.30, 0.23]	P = 0.78
	45 ≤Time < 60		3	P=0.29	18.92%	Fixed	0.46 [0.16, 0.77]	P< 0.01
	≥ 60		4	P=0.02	74.95%	Random	0.93 [0.41, 1.45]	P<0.01
Falls efficacy scale-inte	ernational							
Intervention form	MCPA		4	P = 0.14	44.55%	Fixed	- 0.27 [- 0.49, -0.05]	P<0.05
	MSA		1	-	-	-	-	-
	MBE		2	P = 0.12	59.51%	Random	- 1.22 [- 1.83, 0.61]	P<0.01
Cycle (week)	< 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
	8 ≤Time < 12		2	P = 0.12	59.51%	Random	- 1.22 [- 1.83, -0.61]	P< 0.01
	12 ≤Time < 24		3	P = 0.04	67.85%	Random	- 0.56[- 1.22, 0.11]	P = 0.10
	≥ 24		2	P = 0.31	4.8%	Fixed	- 0.30 [- 0.55, -0.04]	P=0.03
Frequency (day/week)	< 3		6	P< 0.01	80.64%	Random	- 0.60 [- 1.09, -0.11]	P = 0.02
	3		-	-	-	-	-	-
	> 3		1	-	-	-	-	-
Time	< 30		-	-	-	-	-	-
(minutes)	30 ≤Time < 45		2	P = 0.73	0%	Fixed	- 0.98 [- 1.40, - 0.56]	P< 0.01
	45 ≤Time < 60		2	P = 0.06	71.32%	Random	- 1.15 [- 1.96, -0.33]	P< 0.01
	≥ 60		3	P = 0.17	7.27%	Fixed	- 0.24 [- 0.47, -0.04]	P< 0.05

Abbreviations: MCPA multi-component physical activity, MSA muscle-strengthening activity, MBE mind-body exercise, Random random-effect model, Fixed fixed-effect model

 Table 7
 Comparison of the effect size of interventions versus fall risk with MCID values

Outcome	Total effect	The optima	MCID			
		Form	Cycle	Frequency	Time	
"Get-up and go" test	-1.38	-3.27	-1.53	-1.55	-2.80	1.6 [71]
Berg balance scale	3.11	3.82	3.12	3.73	4.11	1.9 [72]
Short physical performance battery	1.09	0.96	0.79	1.79	1.90	0.4 [71]

Abbreviations: MCID minimal clinically important difference

might be more beneficial to further improve the ability to rapidly generate force. Thus, the formulation of an exercise regime should consider both the training principle of progressive overload and age-related degenerative changes in the musculoskeletal system (e.g., muscle fiber and motor neurons decrease) to allow adequate amount of time for recovery and adaptation and to prevent excessive fatigue [81].

Mental efficacy

FES-I is used to understand the degree of concern about participating in simple or complex physical and social activities without falling. The "expected fear of falls" phenomenon is very common in community-dwelling elderly populations, which results in a decrease in balance, activity level, social participation, and quality of life [85]. Mental efficacy in older adults is influenced by balance problem, fall experiences, mood/temperament modulation, and emerging evidence highlighting cognitive factors-particularly attentional processing of sensory inputs [86]. This study suggests that meditation, exercise intervention, or physical perception practice reduces the fear of falling through exercise in older adults [87]. A previous study and meta-analysis found that exercise interventions, such as supervised functional exercises or a combination of strength and balance training, have a favorable effect on mental efficacy in older adults [14, 88]. Among them, MBE enhances psychosomatic coordination and somatic awareness through controlled movement sequences emphasizing the integration of cognitive, motor, and behavioral domains, while fostering a self-contemplative mental state [25, 26]. This mechanism confers superior efficacy in regulating mood compared to conventional physical training [89]. Findings by Donatoni et al. [36] demonstrated an increase in the heterogeneity of the MBE subgroup. Learning effects for the clinical assessment and the Hawthorne effect [90] may be responsible for the increased heterogeneity. Among many MBE, older adults may prefer traditional Chinese exercises, such as Tai Chi, as the primary modality of exercise, which can improve concentration and attention, help the individual to stay calm and relaxed in cases of strain and, importantly, increase balance and reduce the fear of falling while practicing soothing movements [45]. Furthermore, Tai Chi facilitates social interaction among older adults, which enhances self-efficacy and class attendance, thereby increasing the health benefits (e.g., balance, fall prevention, and psychosocial health) derived from sustained Tai Chi [91].

Results from this study suggest that an exercise period between 8 and 12 weeks may have positive effects on mental efficacy. However, Timon et al. [66] suggest that resistance exercise under moderate-to-low oxygen conditions for 45 min, three times per week, for 24 weeks reduces the fear of falling and improves health and physical fitness. This may result from different adherence rates (Group adherence to training was set at 75% attendance in the study [66]) or prescription variables when designing interventions due to the heterogeneity between physical functions in older adults. Another study recommended that exercise regimes should be formulated according to physical function levels in older adults, and the authors also highlighted the importance of consistent adherence to physical exercise [92].

This study synthesizes evidence on exercise interventions for fall risk reduction in community-dwelling older adults from a diverse range of countries, specifically examining the dose-response relationship between exercise prescription variables, thereby generating generalizable evidence-based recommendations for targeted intervention design. Per the Cochrane Handbook, the included studies demonstrated higher methodological quality. However, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, persistent publication bias was observed despite the trim and fill method application to verify robustness and in favor of the intervention condition. Second, the high heterogeneity observed across studies (I² values ranging from 53.01% to 93.5%) suggests caution when generalizing the results. This variability may be due to differences in study design, population characteristics, and intervention specifics. Finally, critical analysis of exercise intensity parameters was precluded as the majority of included studies inadequately reported this prescription component. Future studies should aim to standardize intervention protocols and include more detailed reporting of exercise intensity and adherence. In addition, future studies should investigate the long-term efficacy and clinical effectiveness of these interventions to ascertain their practical benefits and sustained maintenance beyond the initial training period.

Conclusions

This meta-analysis demonstrates that exercise interventions, particularly MBEs (e.g., Tai Chi) and MCPA, are effective in reducing fall risk by improving balance, muscle strength, and fall-related self-efficacy in older adults. In terms of practical application, the findings suggest that MCPA and MBE, such as Tai Chi, should be prioritized for older adults and integrated based on individual needs, particularly with durations of more than 8 weeks and each session lasting for over 30 min. These programs can be integrated into community health initiatives and tailored to individual needs to optimize balance and reduce the risk of falls. Tailored exercise programs, combining resistance exercises with balance training, should be designed to meet the individual needs of older adults, promoting both fall prevention and functional mobility.

Abbreviations

Cl Confidence Interval

- MBE Mind-body Exercise
- MCPA Multi-component Physical Activity
- MCID Minimal Clinically Important Difference
- MSA Muscle-strengthening Activity

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the School of Sports Science and Physical Education of Northeast Normal University. We gratefully acknowledge the efforts of participants, staff, and volunteers for this project. We thank LetPub (www. letpub.com.cn) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Authors' contributions

JP. S. and HQ. X. participated in its design, data analysis, and provided idea for writing. TR. Z., JP. W., and TX. L. completed all statistical analyses and wrote the initial drafts of the manuscript. TR. Z. and XJ. H. conducted a systematic search, data extraction, and the assessment of the risk of bias. HL. Q. participated in polishing the writing and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the drafting of the manuscript and its revisions, including the final version, in relation to intellectual content. This work was supported by the National Social Science Fund of China (22BTY075). This work was supported by the School of Sports Science and Physical Education of Northeast Normal University. We gratefully acknowledge the efforts of participants, staff, and volunteers for this project. We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com.cn) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Social Science Fund of China (22BTY075).

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 14 January 2025 Accepted: 1 May 2025 Published online: 13 May 2025

References

- Feder G, Cryer C, Donovan S, Carter Y. Guidelines for the Prevention of Falls in People over 65. The Guidelines' Development Group BMJ. 2000;321(7267):1007–11. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.321.7267.1007.
- 2. Falls. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/falls. Accessed 22 Jan 2024.
- Kannus P, Sievänen H, Palvanen M, Järvinen T, Parkkari J. Prevention of Falls and Consequent Injuries in Elderly People. The Lancet. 2005;366(9500):1885–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05) 67604-0.
- Stevens JA, Corso PS, Finkelstein EA, Miller TR. The Costs of Fatal and Non-Fatal Falls among Older Adults. Inj Prev. 2006;12(5):290–5. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/ip.2005.011015.
- Kwan MM-S, Close JCT, Wong AKW, Lord SR. Falls Incidence, Risk Factors, and Consequences in Chinese Older People: A Systematic Review: falls in Chinese older people. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011;59(3):536–43. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03286.x.
- Cadore EL, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Sinclair A, Izquierdo M. Effects of Different Exercise Interventions on Risk of Falls, Gait Ability, and Balance in Physically Frail Older Adults: A Systematic Review. Rejuvenation Res. 2013;16(2):105–14. https://doi.org/10.1089/rej.2012.1397.
- Sherrington C, Fairhall NJ, Wallbank GK, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Howard K, Clemson L, Hopewell S, Lamb SE. Exercise for Preventing Falls in Older People Living in the Community. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;1(1):CD012424. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012424.pub2.
- Sherrington C, Michaleff ZA, Fairhall N, Paul SS, Tiedemann A, Whitney J, Cumming RG, Herbert RD, Close JCT, Lord SR. Exercise to Prevent Falls in Older Adults: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.

Br J Sports Med. 2017;51(24):1750-8. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096547.

- Senderovich H, Tsai PM. Do Exercises Prevent Falls Among Older Adults: Where Are We Now? A Systematic Review. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2020;21(9):1197-1206.e2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2020.05.010.
- Delbaere K, Close JCT, Heim J, Sachdev PS, Brodaty H, Slavin MJ, Kochan NA, Lord SR. A Multifactorial Approach to Understanding Fall Risk in Older People. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;58(9):1679–85. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03017.x.
- Sherrington C, Whitney JC, Lord SR, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Close JCT. Effective Exercise for the Prevention of Falls: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;56(12):2234–43. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.02014.x.
- Sherrington C, Tiedemann A, Fairhall N, Close JCT, Lord SR. Exercise to Prevent Falls in Older Adults: An Updated Meta-Analysis and Best Practice Recommendations. NSW Public Health Bull. 2011;22(4):78. https:// doi.org/10.1071/NB10056.
- Hopewell S, Copsey B, Nicolson P, Adedire B, Boniface G, Lamb S. Multifactorial Interventions for Preventing Falls in Older People Living in the Community: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 41 Trials and Almost 20 000 Participants. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(22):1340–50. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-100732.
- 14. Montero-Odasso M, van der Velde N, Martin FC, Petrovic M, Tan MP, Ryg J, Aguilar-Navarro S, Alexander NB, Becker C, Blain H, Bourke R, Cameron ID, Camicioli R, Clemson L, Close J, Delbaere K, Duan L, Duque G, Dyer SM, Freiberger E, Ganz DA, Gómez F, Hausdorff JM, Hogan DB, Hunter SMW, Jauregui JR, Kamkar N, Kenny R-A, Lamb SE, Latham NK, Lipsitz LA, Liu-Ambrose T, Logan P, Lord SR, Mallet L, Marsh D, Milisen K, Moctezuma-Gallegos R, Morris ME, Nieuwboer A, Perracini MR, Pieruccini-Faria F, Pighills A, Said C, Sejdic E, Sherrington C, Skelton DA, Dsouza S, Speechley M, Stark S, Todd C, Troen BR, van der Cammen T, Verghese J, Vlaeyen E, Watt JA, Masud T. Task Force on Global Guidelines for Falls in Older Adults: A Global Initiative. Age Ageing. 2022;51(9):afac205. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afac205.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The PRISMA 2020 Statement: An Updated Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71.
- MEDLINE | Health Information Research Unit. https://hiruweb.mcmas ter.ca/hkr/hedges/medline/. Accessed 15 Mar 2024.
- Springer BA, Marin R, Cyhan T, Roberts H, Gill NW. Normative Values for the Unipedal Stance Test with Eyes Open and Closed. J Geriatr Phys Ther. 2007;30(1):8–15. https://doi.org/10.1519/00139143-20070 4000-00003.
- Duncan PW, Weiner DK, Chandler J, Studenski S. Functional Reach: A New Clinical Measure of Balance. J Gerontol. 1990;45(6):M192–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/45.6.M192.
- Park S-H, Lee Y-S. The Diagnostic Accuracy of the Berg Balance Scale in Predicting Falls. West J Nurs Res. 2017;39(11):1502–25. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0193945916670894.
- Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The Timed "Up & Go": A Test of Basic Functional Mobility for Frail Elderly Persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1991;39(2):142–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1991.tb01616.x.
- Csuka M, McCarty DJ. Simple Method for Measurement of Lower Extremity Muscle Strength. Am J Med. 1985;78(1):77–81. https://doi. org/10.1016/0002-9343(85)90465-6.
- Jones CJ, Rikli RE, Beam WC. A 30-s Chair-Stand Test as a Measure of Lower Body Strength in Community-Residing Older Adults. Res Q Exerc Sport. 1999;70(2):113–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1999.10608 028.
- Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, Scherr PA, Wallace RB. A Short Physical Performance Battery Assessing Lower Extremity Function: Association With Self-Reported Disability and Prediction of Mortality and Nursing Home Admission. J Gerontol. 1994;49(2):M85–94. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/49.2.M85.

- Yardley L, Beyer N, Hauer K, Kempen G, Piot-Ziegler C, Todd C. Development and Initial Validation of the Falls Efficacy Scale-International (FES-I). Age Ageing. 2005;34(6):614–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afi196.
- Miller KJ, Gonçalves-Bradley DC, Areerob P, Hennessy D, Mesagno C, Grace F. Comparative Effectiveness of Three Exercise Types to Treat Clinical Depression in Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled Trials. Ageing Res Rev. 2020;58:100999. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2019.100999.
- Huang X, Zhao X, Li B, Cai Y, Zhang S, Wan Q, Yu F. Comparative Efficacy of Various Exercise Interventions on Cognitive Function in Patients with Mild Cognitive Impairment or Dementia: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. J Sport Health Sci. 2022;11(2):212–23. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jshs.2021.05.003.
- Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, George SM, Olson RD. The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. JAMA. 2018;320(19):2020–8. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.14854.
- Brüll L, Hezel N, Arampatzis A, Schwenk M. Comparing the Effects of Two Perturbation-Based Balance Training Paradigms in Fall-Prone Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Gerontology. 2023;69(7):910–22. https:// doi.org/10.1159/000530167.
- 29. Pirouzi S, Motealleh AR, Fallahzadeh F, Fallahzadeh MA. Effectiveness of Treadmill Training on Balance Control in Elderly People: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Iran J Med Sci. 2014;39(6):565–70.
- Biehl-Printes C, Irigaray TQ, Dornelles JOF, Baptista RR. Unraveling the Unparalleled Benefits of Orienteering versus Hiking on Gait Performance and Cognition: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2024;117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2023.105201.
- 31 Arkkukangas M, Söderlund A, Eriksson S, Johansson AC. Fall Preventive Exercise With or Without Behavior Change Support for Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial With Short-Term Follow-Up. J Geriatr Phys Ther (2001). 2019;42(1):9–17. https://doi.org/10. 1519/JPT.00000000000129.
- Arrieta H, Rezola-Pardo C, Zarrazquin I, Echeverria I, Javier Yanguas J, Iturburu M, Maria Gil S, Rodriguez-Larrad A, Irazusta J. A Multicomponent Exercise Program Improves Physical Function in Long-Term Nursing Home Residents: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Exp Gerontol. 2018;103:94–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2018.01.008.
- Benavent-Caballer V, Rosado-Calatayud P, Segura-Ortí E, Amer-Cuenca JJ, Lisón JF. The Effectiveness of a Video-Supported Group-Based Otago Exercise Programme on Physical Performance in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Preliminary Study. Physiotherapy. 2016;102(3):280–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2015.08.002.
- Chang M, Huang Y, Jung H. The Effectiveness of the Exercise Education Programme on Fall Prevention of the Community-Dwelling Elderly: A Preliminary Study. Hong Kong J Occup Ther. 2011;21(2):56–63. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.hkjot.2011.10.002.
- Chewning B, Hallisy KM, Mahoney JE, Wilson D, Sangasubana N, Gangnon R. Disseminating Tai Chi in the Community: Promoting Home Practice and Improving Balance. Gerontologist. 2020;60(4):765–75. https://doi. org/10.1093/geront/gnz006.
- Donatoni da Silva L, Shiel A, McIntosh C. Effects of Pilates on the Risk of Falls, Gait, Balance and Functional Mobility in Healthy Older Adults: A Randomised Controlled Trial. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2022;30:30–41. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2022.02.020.
- Fakhro MA, Hadchiti R, Awad B. Effects of Nintendo Wii Fit Game Training on Balance among Lebanese Older Adults. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2020;32(11):2271–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-019-01425-x.
- 38 Ferraro FV, Gavin JP, Wainwright T, McConnell A. The Effects of 8 Weeks of Inspiratory Muscle Training on the Balance of Healthy Older Adults: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. Physiological reports. 2019;7(9):e14076. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14076.
- Franco MR, Sherrington C, Tiedemann A, Pereira LS, Perracini MR, Faria CSG, Negrão-Filho RF, Pinto RZ, Pastre CM. Effect of Senior Dance (DanSE) on Fall Risk Factors in Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Phys Ther. 2020;100(4):600–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzz187.
- Gabizon H, Press Y, Volkov I, Melzer I. The Effects of Pilates Training on Balance Control and Self-Reported Health Status in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Aging Phys Act. 2016;24(3):376–83. https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.2014-0298.
- 41. Granacher U, Muehlbauer T, Göstemeyer G, Gruber S, Gruber M. The Performance of Balance Exercises during Daily Tooth Brushing Is

Not Sufficient to Improve Balance and Muscle Strength in Healthy Older Adults. BMC Geriatr. 2021;21(1):257. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-021-02206-w.

- Hartmann A, Murer K, de Bie RA, de Bruin ED. The Effect of a Foot Gymnastic Exercise Programme on Gait Performance in Older Adults: A Randomised Controlled Trial. Disabil Rehabil. 2009;31(25):2101–10. https://doi.org/10.3109/09638280902927010.
- Hewitt J, Goodall S, Clemson L, Henwood T, Refshauge K. Progressive Resistance and Balance Training for Falls Prevention in Long-Term Residential Aged Care: A Cluster Randomized Trial of the Sunbeam Program. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2018;19(4):361–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda. 2017.12.014.
- 44. Hirase T, Inokuchi S, Matsusaka N, Okita M. Effects of a Balance Training Program Using a Foam Rubber Pad in Community-Based Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Geriatr Phys Ther. 2015;38(2):62–70. https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.00000000000023.
- Hosseini L, Kargozar E, Sharifi F, Negarandeh R, Memari A-H, Navab E. Tai Chi Chuan Can Improve Balance and Reduce Fear of Falling in Community Dwelling Older Adults: A Randomized Control Trial. J Exer Rehabil. 2018;14(6):1024–31. https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1836488.244.
- 46. Ing JBM, Tan MP, Whitney J, Tiong K, Singh DKA. Acceptability, Feasibility, and Effectiveness of WE-SURF[™]: A Virtual Supervised Group-Based Fall Prevention Exercise Program among Older Adults. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2024;36(1):125. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-024-02759-x.
- 47. 이경진; 김 순현, Song C. Balance Exercise Program Using Training Mats Improves the Postural Balance of Elderly Individuals: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Korean Soc Phys Ther. 2012;24(3):223–228.
- Jung H, Miki Y, Tanaka R, Yamasaki M. The Effects of a Multicomponent Lower Extremity Training Technique on Physical Function in Healthy Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Gerontology & geriatric medicine. 2020;6:2333721420935702–2333721420935702. https://doi. org/10.1177/2333721420935702.
- Kwon MS. Effects of a fall prevention program on physical fitness and psychological functions in community dwelling elders. J Korean Acad Nurs. 2011;41(2):165–74. https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.2011.41.2.165.
- Lai CH, Peng CW, Chen YL, Huang CP, Hsiao YL, Chen SC. Effects of Interactive Video-Game Based System Exercise on the Balance of the Elderly. Gait Posture. 2013;37(4):511–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.09. 003.
- Lee K, Lee YW. Efficacy of Ankle Control Balance Training on Postural Balance and Gait Ability in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Single-Blinded, Randomized Clinical Trial. J Phys Ther Sci. 2017;29(9):1590–5. https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.29.1590.
- Lee K. Home-Based Exergame Program to Improve Physical Function, Fall Efficacy, Depression and Quality of Life in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Healthcare. 2023;11(8). https://doi. org/10.3390/healthcare11081109.
- Machacova K, Vankova H, Volicer L, Veleta P, Holmerova I. Dance as Prevention of Late Life Functional Decline Among Nursing Home Residents. J Appl Gerontol. 2017;36(12):1453–70. https://doi.org/10.1177/07334 64815602111.
- Manor B, Lough M, Gagnon MM, Cupples A, Wayne PM, Lipsitz LA. Functional Benefits of Tai Chi Training in Senior Housing Facilities. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62(8):1484–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12946.
- Naczk M, Marszalek S, Naczk A. Inertial Training Improves Strength, Balance, and Gait Speed in Elderly Nursing Home Residents. Clin Interv Aging. 2020;15:177–84. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S234299.
- Oh DS, Choi JD. Effects of Motor Imagery Training on Balance and Gait in Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Pilot Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(2):650. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020650.
- Ohtake M, Morikagi Y, Suzuki I, Kanoya Y, Sato C. Effects of Exercise on the Prevention of Conditions Leading to the Need for Long-Term Care. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2013;25(1):49–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40520-013-0016-y.
- Pepera G, Krinta K, Mpea C, Antoniou V, Peristeropoulos A, Dimitriadis Z. Randomized Controlled Trial of Group Exercise Intervention for Fall Risk Factors Reduction in Nursing Home Residents. Can J Aging-Revue Canadienne Du Vieillissement. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1017/S071498082 2000265.
- 59. Roller M, Kachingwe A, Beling J, Ickes D-M, Cabot A, Shrier G. Pilates Reformer Exercises for Fall Risk Reduction in Older Adults: Arandomized

Controlled Trial. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2018;22(4):983–98. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jbmt.2017.09.004.

- Sadaqa M, Debes WA, Nemeth Z, Bera-Baka Z, Vachtler-Szepesi M, Foldes LN, Premusz V, Hock M. 11 Multicomponent Exercise Intervention for Preventing Falls and Improving Physical Functioning in Older Nursing Home Residents: A Single-Blinded Pilot Randomised Controlled Trial. J Clin Med. 2024;13(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13061577.
- Sales M, Polman R, Hill KD, Levinger P. A Novel Exercise Initiative for Seniors to Improve Balance and Physical Function. J Aging Health. 2017;29(8):1424– 43. https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264316662359.
- Schilling BK, Falvo MJ, Karlage RE, Weiss LW, Lohnes CA, Chiu LZ. Effects of Unstable Surface Training on Measures of Balance in Older Adults. J Strength Cond Res. 2009;23(4):1211–6. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013 e3181918a83.
- Schlicht J, Camaione DN, Owen SV. Effect of Intense Strength Training on Standing Balance, Walking Speed, and Sit-to-Stand Performance in Older Adults. J Gerontol Series a-Biol Sci Med Sci. 2001;56(5):M281–6. https://doi. org/10.1093/gerona/56.5.M281.
- 64. Sedaghati P, Goudarzian M, Ahmadabadi S, Tabatabai-Asl SM. The Impact of a Multicomponent-Functional Training with Postural Correction on Functional Balance in the Elderly with a History of Falling. J Exp Orthop. 2022;9(1):23–23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-022-00459-x.
- Sitthiracha P, Eungpinichpong W, Chatchawan U. Effect of Progressive Step Marching Exercise on Balance Ability in the Elderly: A Cluster Randomized Clinical Trial. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(6):3146. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijerph18063146.
- 66. Timon R, Camacho-Cardeñosa M, González-Custodio A, Olcina G, Gusi N, Camacho-Cardeñosa A. Effect of Hypoxic Conditioning on Functional Fitness, Balance and Fear of Falling in Healthy Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Eur Rev Aging Phys Act. 2021;18(1):25. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s11556-021-00279-5.
- Ullmann G, Williams HG, Hussey J, Durstine JL, McClenaghan BA. Effects of Feldenkrais Exercises on Balance, Mobility, Balance Confidence, and Gait Performance in Community-Dwelling Adults Age 65 and Older. J Altern Complement Med. 2010;16(1):97–105. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2008. 0612.
- Whyatt C, Merriman NA, Young WR, Newell FN, Craig C. A Wii Bit of Fun: A Novel Platform to Deliver Effective Balance Training to Older Adults. Games for Health J. 2015;4(6):423–33. https://doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2015.0006.
- Witte K, Emmermacher P, Pliske G. Improvement of Balance and General Physical Fitness in Older Adults by Karate: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Compl Med Res. 2017;24(6):390–3. https://doi.org/10.1159/000479151.
- Wu Y, Senk C, Coll P, Glenney S, Zaborowski K, Fortinsky R, Taylor B, Park C, Benson K, McGowan M, DiBiasi S, Chen M-H, Pescatello L. A Comparison of Two Tai Chi Interventions Tailored for Different Health Outcomes. Compl Ther Med. 2021;59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2021.102731.
- Low DC, Walsh GS. The Minimal Important Change for Measures of Balance and Postural Control in Older Adults: A Systematic Review. Age Ageing. 2022;51(12):afac284. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afac284.
- Godi M, Franchignoni F, Caligari M, Giordano A, Turcato AM, Nardone A. Comparison of Reliability, Validity, and Responsiveness of the Mini-BESTest and Berg Balance Scale in Patients with Balance Disorders. Phys Ther. 2013;93(2):158–67. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20120171.
- Gschwind YJ, Kressig RW, Lacroix A, Muehlbauer T, Pfenninger B, Granacher U. A Best Practice Fall Prevention Exercise Program to Improve Balance, Strength / Power, and Psychosocial Health in Older Adults: Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial. BMC Geriatr. 2013;13:105. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2318-13-105.
- Zhao Y, Chung P-K, Tong TK. Effectiveness of a Balance-Focused Exercise Program for Enhancing Functional Fitness of Older Adults at Risk of Falling: A Randomised Controlled Trial. Geriatr Nurs. 2017;38(6):491–7. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2017.02.011.
- Huang Y, Liu X. Improvement of Balance Control Ability and Flexibility in the Elderly Tai Chi Chuan (TCC) Practitioners: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2015;60(2):233–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. archger.2014.10.016.
- Kirkendall DT, Garrett WE. The Effects of Aging and Training on Skeletal Muscle. Am J Sports Med. 1998;26(4):598–602. https://doi.org/10.1177/03635 465980260042401.
- 77. Pereira C, Rosado H, Cruz-Ferreira A, Marmeleira J. Effects of a 10-Week Multimodal Exercise Program on Physical and Cognitive Function of Nursing

Home Residents: A Psychomotor Intervention Pilot Study. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2018;30(5):471–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-017-0803-y.

- Li R, Zhu X, Yin S, Niu Y, Zheng Z, Huang X, Wang B, Li J. Multimodal Intervention in Older Adults Improves Resting-State Functional Connectivity between the Medial Prefrontal Cortex and Medial Temporal Lobe†. Front. Aging Neurosci. 2014;6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2014.00039.
- Clemson L, Fiatarone Singh MA, Bundy A, Cumming RG, Manollaras K, O'Loughlin P, Black D. Integration of Balance and Strength Training into Daily Life Activity to Reduce Rate of Falls in Older People (the LiFE Study): Randomised Parallel Trial. BMJ. 2012;345: e4547. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.e4547.
- Clegg A, Barber S, Young J, Iliffe S, Forster A. The Home-Based Older People's Exercise (HOPE) Trial: A Pilot Randomised Controlled Trial of a Home-Based Exercise Intervention for Older People with Frailty. Age Ageing. 2014;43(5):687–95. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afu033.
- Lesinski M, Hortobágyi T, Muehlbauer T, Gollhofer A, Granacher U. Effects of Balance Training on Balance Performance in Healthy Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Med. 2015;45(12):1721–38. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0375-y.
- Lima CA, Ricci NA, Nogueira EC, Perracini MR. The Berg Balance Scale as a Clinical Screening Tool to Predict Fall Risk in Older Adults: A Systematic Review. Physiotherapy. 2018;104(4):383–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio. 2018.02.002.
- Fyfe JJ, Hamilton DL, Daly RM. Minimal-Dose Resistance Training for Improving Muscle Mass, Strength, and Function: A Narrative Review of Current Evidence and Practical Considerations. Sports Med. 2022;52(3):463–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01605-8.
- Granacher U, Zahner L, Gollhofer A. Strength, Power, and Postural Control in Seniors: Considerations for Functional Adaptations and for Fall Prevention. Eur J Sport Sci. 2008;8(6):325–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/174613908024780 66.
- Liu T-W, Ng GYF, Chung RCK, Ng SSM. Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for Fear of Falling and Balance among Older People: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Age Ageing. 2018;47(4):520–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy010.
- Peeters G, Bennett M, Donoghue OA, Kennelly S, Kenny RA. Understanding the Aetiology of Fear of Falling from the Perspective of a Fear-Avoidance Model - A Narrative Review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2020;79:101862. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101862.
- Kruisbrink M, Crutzen R, Kempen GIJM, Delbaere K, Ambergen T, Cheung KL, Kendrick D, Iliffe S, Zijlstra GAR. Disentangling Interventions to Reduce Fear of Falling in Community-Dwelling Older People: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Intervention Components. Disabil Rehabil. 2022;44(21):6247–57. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2021.1969452.
- Freiberger E, Häberle L, Spirduso WW, Zijlstra GAR. Long-Term Effects of Three Multicomponent Exercise Interventions on Physical Performance and Fall-Related Psychological Outcomes in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60(3):437–46. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03859.x.
- Wang S, Yin H, Jia Y, Zhao L, Wang L, Chen L. Effects of Mind-Body Exercise on Cognitive Function in Older Adults With Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2018;206(12):913. https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.00000000000912.
- McCarney R, Warner J, Iliffe S, van Haselen R, Griffin M, Fisher P. The Hawthorne Effect: A Randomised, Controlled Trial. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2007;7:30. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-7-30.
- Koren Y, Leveille S, You T. Tai Chi Interventions Promoting Social Support and Interaction Among Older Adults: A Systematic Review. Res Gerontol Nurs. 2021;14(3):126–37. https://doi.org/10.3928/19404921-20210325-02.
- Frändin K, Grönstedt H, Helbostad JL, Bergland A, Andresen M, Puggaard L, Harms-Ringdahl K, Granbo R, Hellström K. Long-Term Effects of Individually Tailored Physical Training and Activity on Physical Function, Well-Being and Cognition in Scandinavian Nursing Home Residents: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Gerontology. 2016;62(6):571–80. https://doi.org/10.1159/ 000443611.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.